

Invitation to Comment on Exposure Draft Charities SORP

Do you consent to personal data you provide being held, in accordance with UK GDPR and the Privacy Policy of the Charities SORP making body and its delegated parties? If you select 'no' your name and email address will not be stored, but your organisational data (if relevant) and all consultation responses will be collected.

Yes

Name:

Chris Jones

Email address:

[REDACTED]

Role (for example, Chair, Trustee, Accountant, Treasurer etc):

professional body volunteer

Are you happy for the SORP-making body to contact you if needed to discuss your responses?

Yes

Do you want your response to be treated confidentially by the SORP-making body and not published?

No

Are you responding:

As an individual

Responding on behalf of an organisation/body

If responding on behalf of an organisation or body, please provide its name :

No Response

Please select what best describes the organisation:

No Response

A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

What was the last reported gross income as set out in the charity's last annual accounts?

No Response

A user of accounts prepared under FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

In which capacity were you using accounts prepared under FRS102 and the Charities SORP?

No Response

An accounting firm / auditor

How many charity clients do you supply your services to?

No Response

An accounting firm providing independent examination services to charities

How many charity clients do you supply your service to?

No Response

A sector body

How many member charities does your organisation have?

No Response

Responding as an individual

Which of the following describes you?

Other (please specify):
professional body volunteer

Question 1: Do you support the move to three tiers?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 2: Do you consider that the proposed thresholds have been set at an appropriate monetary level in order to support a proportionate approach to reporting?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 3: Do you agree that the Exposure Draft SORP clearly sets out the proposed reporting requirements for each tier?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

See previous submission

Question 4: Do you agree that charities within the largest income threshold should be referred to as 'tier 3' charities, or should they be referred to as 'tier 1' charities?

Disagree – should be referred as tier 1

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 5: Do you have any additional comments in relation to the proposed tiered reporting structure in the Exposure Draft SORP?

See previous submission

Question 6: Do you agree that including prompt questions will help trustees to develop their Trustees' Annual Report?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

In 2024-2025, I received invitations from two professional bodies (both charities), which raised questions about CHARITY INDEPENDENCE.

EXAMPLE 1

One professional body offered company membership, with strategic and project influence featured in the marketing email. This offer may have now been revised. The email did not display the charity's identifier and number, which could not be found by <searching> the professional body's website. Counter-arguments: the charity would seek commercial opinion anyway.

EXAMPLE 2

Another professional body, a charity, promoted its leadership figure's employer with co-branding (logo placements) at multiple charity events. Magazine headline promoted the firm not the charity, urging members to re-think the core object of the charity. This partnership co-developed and co-branded a significant 'thought leadership' document, released to members and the public at a fee-paying conference. Intellectual property unclear, like the events, both commercial and charity logos equally placed. This 'thought leadership' document lists charity staff contributors equally alongside commercial entity's staff. Wordsearching could not find "charity" anywhere in the document. Counter-arguments: commercial 'partner' was perceived as a leader in the charity's field.

Other reports by the same commercial entity on the charity's operations. Annual Report with Related Party Transactions awaited.

A competitor's comment suggested other firms could be discouraged from contributing volunteer effort to the charity's intellectual property, feeling the commercial entity was dominating debate over one of the charity's objects and public contributions.

DECLARE COMMERCIAL PARTNERS

The SORP should be expressly require ANY strategic influence or 'partnerships' with commercial interests to be declared.

Question 7: Do you consider the requirements for impact reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

See previous submission

Question 8: Do you consider the requirements for sustainability reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

See previous submission

Question 9: Do you consider the disclosures for volunteers to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

See also previous submission.

The question "who or what is XXXXXXX [the charity]" has been asked for many years. This is because of an increasing tendency to class skilled volunteers as "customers" or "members" quite separate from the staff and the charitable body. Reports are that one charity strongly discourages staff from regarding volunteers as an integral, essential part of the charity without whom the operations would simply not be viable.

The problems are I think: complexity, expansion and powers of charity staff structures which are needed to meet ever-broadening legal and financial obligations; secondly, a perception of membership as a straightforward contract, even with the volunteers; and the very limited recognition/protection/rights for volunteers in national law. These in some cases have all overtaken the charity model.

Question 10: Do you consider the explanation of reserves in the glossary helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 11: Do you consider the disclosures for reserves are proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 12: Do you consider the requirement for tier 1 charities to provide a summary of their plans for the future is proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 13: Do you consider that the additional disclosure will help to explain the treatment of legacies in the accounts?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 14: Do you have any other comments on module 1 and the proposals for the Trustees' Annual Report?

MEMBERSHIP-ELECTED TRUSTEES & ACCOUNTABILITY

The Commission's guidance seems weak on elections.

Where trustees are elected in a members' ballot, guidance or statements in the Annual Report on:

1. Whether the elections are truly "open", or whether ballot candidates are screened in any approval process, for example by staff
2. Whether any screening of candidates involves the trustee board approving their replacements ?
3. Whether there is a set of detailed rules for conducting ballots, and whether those rules are available to prospective candidates ?
4. Whether a ballot was held for incoming trustees and when it was announced ?
5. Whether any ballot was offered to members, and especially, whether a ballot was opened to members and then withdrawn, along with the reasons for withdrawal ?
6. Whether all ballot candidates, once identified, received equal promotion to members (for example whether some were announced as trustee board supported candidates) ?
7. Whether all membership ballot candidates received equal publicity exposure and promotion in newsletters, magazines, official announcements ?
8. Whether canvassing was prohibited, and if so, whether there were any reports of canvassing ?
9. Whether the charity runs nominations and ballots entirely internally, or uses a nominations and ballot provider ?
10. If internal, who the ballot scrutineers were, and their summary report provided in the Annual Report ?

Question 15: Is the example table helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 16: Do you have any other comments on module 4?

No Response

Question 17: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of the five-step model in FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 18: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 19: Do you consider that the guidance on exchange and non-exchange transactions should be set out in separate modules of the SORP rather than separate sections of the same module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 20: In the Exposure Draft SORP, all the disclosure requirements are listed at the end of the module. Would it be clearer instead to set out the relevant disclosures at the end of each section within the module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 21: Do you consider this clarification a helpful addition to the SORP?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 22: Does the module set out the accounting requirements for legacy income clearly?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 23: Accounting for legacies can be a complex area for charities to navigate. Is there a need for further guidance on this topic outside of the SORP?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 24: Do you have any other comments on module 5?

No Response

Question 25: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 26: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way? Please select all options that apply.

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 27: Does the section (paragraphs 10B.68 to 10B.84) on arrangements that are significantly below market value provide clarity on how to account for such arrangements?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 28: Are the additional disclosure requirements set out in paragraphs 10B.95 and 10B.129 reasonable for charities with such arrangements?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 29 - please provide any other comments you have on module 10B:

No Response

Question 30: Do you agree with the proposal in the Exposure Draft SORP that only tier 1 and tier 2 charities, that do not meet the small entity threshold, and all tier 3 charities are required to prepare a statement of cash flows?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 31: Do you have any other comments on module 14?

No Response

Question 32: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 33: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 34: Do you have any other comments on module 20?

No Response

Question 35: Do you agree with the new approach to using the generic term 'social investments' instead of referring to 'programme related' and 'mixed motive' investments?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 36: Do you agree that the simplification of how gains and losses on social investments are reported is beneficial?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 37: Is the Exposure Draft SORP clear on the requirements for comparative figures and disclosures?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 38: Do you think there is a need for further guidance on the treatment of comparative figures and disclosures in this area?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 39: Do you have any other comments on module 21?

No Response

Question 40: Do you agree that the drafting, structure and proposals in the Exposure Draft SORP support the needs of smaller charities whilst addressing the needs of users of charity reports and accounts?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 41: Do you agree with the SORP-making body's decision to continue to disallow the application of Section 1A?

Do not understand the position enough to comment

Please provide reasons for your answer or suggestions on how you think Section 1A could be applied differently: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 42: Do you have any other comments on the Exposure Draft SORP?

It would help to index the survey questions so that respondents can see where other comments might best be placed.