Invitation to Comment on Exposure Draft Charities SORP

Do you consent to personal data you provide being held, in accordance with UK GDPR and the Privacy Policy of the Charities SORP making body and its delegated parties? If you sel ect 'no' your name and email address will not be stored, but your organisational data (if rele vant) and all consultation responses will be collected.
Yes
Name:
Chris Jones
Email address:
Role (for example, Chair, Trustee, Accountant, Treasurer etc):
professional body volunteer
Are you happy for the SORP-making body to contact you if needed to discuss your respons es?
Yes
Do you want your response to be treated confidentially by the SORP-making body and not published?
No
Are you responding:
As an individual
Responding on behalf of an organisation/body
If responding on behalf of an organisation or body, please provide its name :
No Response
Please select what best describes the organisation:
No Response

A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

What was the last reported gross income as set out in the charity's last annual accounts?

A user of accounts prepared under FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

In which capacity were you using accounts prepared under FRS102 and the Charities SOR P?

No Response

An accounting firm / auditor

How many charity clients do you supply your services to?

No Response

An accounting firm providing independent examination services to charities

How many charity clients do you supply your service to?

No Response

A sector body

How many member charities does your organisation have?

No Response

Responding as an individual

Which of the following describes you?

Other (please specify): volunteer

Question 1: Do you support the move to three tiers?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 2: Do you consider that the proposed thresholds have been set at an appropriate monetary level in order to support a proportionate approach to reporting?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 3: Do you agree that the Exposure Draft SORP clearly sets out the proposed reporting requirements for each tier?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

See previous response

Question 4: Do you agree that charities within the largest income threshold should be referred to as 'tier 3' charities, or should they be referred to as 'tier 1' charities?

Disagree - should be referred as tier 1

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

See previous response

Question 5: Do you have any additional comments in relation to the proposed tiered reporting structure in the Exposure Draft SORP?

See previous response

Question 6: Do you agree that including prompt questions will help trustees to develop their Trustees' Annual Report?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

NEW BORROWING

1.46 Events to Include in the Financial Review

The financial review section of the media version of one charity's annual report and financial statements does not mention new borrowing.

The full version of the charity's annual report and financial statements (with notes) does mention new borrowing - once - in a schedule of cash flows. For many readers, it will be just a figure in a column.

In this case, there is no other mention and the reasons for the borrowing are not explained to readers, although the report is stated to comply with the SORP.

The advent of new borrowing (in this case 25% of annual income) would have been of interest to stakeholders.

SORP 1.46, Financial Review, does not expressly require disclosure or explanation in the text of the annual report.

SUGGESTION:

- 1. It is suggested that some examples of significant events are given in 1.46.
- 2. The SORP should expressly require disclosure in text of any new borrowing by the charity, or limited companies in the charity group under "Financial Review".
- 3. The reasons for borrowing will be known to the charity (for example to fund building refurbishment or remediation) and should be disclosed in the text of the "Financial Review"
- 4. Plans for repayment should be clearly explained in "Financial Review".

Question 7: Do you consider the requirements for impact reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es) See previous response Question 8: Do you consider the requirements for sustainability reporting for each tier to be proportionate? No Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli See previous response Question 9: Do you consider the disclosures for volunteers to be proportionate? No Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli See previous response Question 10: Do you consider the explanation of reserves in the glossary helpful? No Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es) See previous response Question 11: Do you consider the disclosures for reserves are proportionate? No Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli See previous response Question 12: Do you consider the requirement for tier 1 charities to provide a summary of t

heir plans for the future is proportionate?

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli

See previous response

Question 13: Do you consider that the additional disclosure will help to explain the treatmen t of legacies in the accounts?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 14: Do you have any other comments on module 1 and the proposals for the Trus tees' Annual Report?

No Response

Question 15: Is the example table helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 16: Do you have any other comments on module 4?

No Response

Question 17: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of the five-step model in F RS 102 in a clear and understandable way?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 18: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 19: Do you consider that the guidance on exchange and non-exchange transactions should be set out in separate modules of the SORP rather than separate sections of the same module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 20: In the Exposure Draft SORP, all the disclosure requirements are listed at the end of the module. Would it be clearer instead to set out the relevant disclosures at the end of each section within the module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 21: Do you consider this clarification a helpful addition to the SORP?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 22: Does the module set out the accounting requirements for legacy income clear ly?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 23: Accounting for legacies can be a complex area for charities to navigate. Is the re a need for further guidance on this topic outside of the SORP?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 24: Do you have any other comments on module 5?

No Response

Question 25: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 26: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way? Please select all options that apply.

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 27: Does the section (paragraphs 10B.68 to 10B.84) on arrangements that are si gnificantly below market value provide clarity on how to account for such arrangements?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 28: Are the additional disclosure requirements set out in paragraphs 10B.95 and 10B.129 reasonable for charities with such arrangements?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 29 - please provide any other comments you have on module 10B:

No Response

Question 30: Do you agree with the proposal in the Exposure Draft SORP that only tier 1 a nd tier 2 charities, that do not meet the small entity threshold, and all tier 3 charities are required to prepare a statement of cash flows?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 31: Do you have any other comments on module 14?

No Response

Question 32: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 33: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 34: Do you have any other comments on module 20?

No Response

Question 35: Do you agree with the new approach to using the generic term 'social investments' instead of referring to 'programme related' and 'mixed motive' investments?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 36: Do you agree that the simplification of how gains and losses on social invest ments are reported is beneficial?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 37: Is the Exposure Draft SORP clear on the requirements for comparative figure s and disclosures?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 38: Do you think there is a need for further guidance on the treatment of compara tive figures and disclosures in this area?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 39: Do you have any other comments on module 21?

No Response

Question 40: Do you agree that the drafting, structure and proposals in the Exposure Draft SORP support the needs of smaller charities whilst addressing the needs of users of charity reports and accounts?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 41: Do you agree with the SORP-making body's decision to continue to disallow the application of Section 1A?

Do not understand the position enough to comment

Please provide reasons for your answer or suggestions on how you think Section 1A could be applied differently: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 42: Do you have any other comments on the Exposure Draft SORP?