## Invitation to Comment on Exposure Draft Charities SORP

Do you consent to personal data you provide being held, in accordance with UK GDPR and the Privacy Policy of the Charities SORP making body and its delegated parties? If you sel ect 'no' your name and email address will not be stored, but your organisational data (if rele vant) and all consultation responses will be collected.
Yes
Name:
Valentin Alberici
Email address:
Role (for example, Chair, Trustee, Accountant, Treasurer etc):
Head of Finance
Are you happy for the SORP-making body to contact you if needed to discuss your respons es?
Yes
Do you want your response to be treated confidentially by the SORP-making body and not published?
No
Are you responding:
On behalf of an organisation/body
Responding on behalf of an organisation/body
If responding on behalf of an organisation or body, please provide its name :
Eden Court Highlands
Please select what best describes the organisation:

### A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

A user of accounts prepared under FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

What was the last reported gross income as set out in the charity's last annual accounts?

Over £5M

#### A user of accounts prepared under FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

In which capacity were you using accounts prepared under FRS102 and the Charities SOR P?

Member of public

#### An accounting firm / auditor

How many charity clients do you supply your services to?

No Response

# An accounting firm providing independent examination services to charities

How many charity clients do you supply your service to?

No Response

#### A sector body

How many member charities does your organisation have?

No Response

#### Responding as an individual

Which of the following describes you?

No Response

Question 1: Do you support the move to three tiers?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 2: Do you consider that the proposed thresholds have been set at an appropriate monetary level in order to support a proportionate approach to reporting?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 3: Do you agree that the Exposure Draft SORP clearly sets out the proposed reporting requirements for each tier?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 4: Do you agree that charities within the largest income threshold should be referred to as 'tier 3' charities, or should they be referred to as 'tier 1' charities?

Agree with tier 3

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

It makes sense for Tier 1 to be the "core" reporting, with Tier 2 / 3 being requirements added on top of Tier 1.

Question 5: Do you have any additional comments in relation to the proposed tiered reporting structure in the Exposure Draft SORP?

No Response

Question 6: Do you agree that including prompt questions will help trustees to develop their Trustees' Annual Report?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

I agree that the prompt questions help, but question whether those questions should be under a "SHOULD" requirement, rather than a "MUST" requirement - as it is unlikely that all prompt questions will be applicable.

Question 7: Do you consider the requirements for impact reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 8: Do you consider the requirements for sustainability reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 9: Do you consider the disclosures for volunteers to be proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

As long as the requirement remains a "May", it is acceptable. Even for larger charities, effectively measuring Volunteers time reliably and consistantly can be difficult.

Question 10: Do you consider the explanation of reserves in the glossary helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 11: Do you consider the disclosures for reserves are proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 12: Do you consider the requirement for tier 1 charities to provide a summary of their plans for the future is proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

This requirement could benefit from explanations / prompt questions to make it easier to comply with the requirements consistently across charities.

Question 13: Do you consider that the additional disclosure will help to explain the treatmen t of legacies in the accounts?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 14: Do you have any other comments on module 1 and the proposals for the Trus tees' Annual Report?

No Response

Question 15: Is the example table helpful?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 16: Do you have any other comments on module 4?

No Response

Question 17: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of the five-step model in F RS 102 in a clear and understandable way?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

The five steps are hard to identify in a very long body of text - it might be beneficial to create a sub-paragraph for each step.

Question 18: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 19: Do you consider that the guidance on exchange and non-exchange transactions should be set out in separate modules of the SORP rather than separate sections of the same module?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

The two sections are clearly identified, and having a single module addressing all income matters is beneficial.

Question 20: In the Exposure Draft SORP, all the disclosure requirements are listed at the end of the module. Would it be clearer instead to set out the relevant disclosures at the end of each section within the module?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 21: Do you consider this clarification a helpful addition to the SORP?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Non-specialists are unlikely to understand this. It would be much more helpful to allow these grants to be accounted for using the accrual model, which is widely used under FRS102, but not currently allowed by the SORP.

More generally, allowing all grant income (revenue based or asset based) to be recognised based on the accrual method would make charities accounts much more understandable to readers - including those not specialised in charities. This could be associated with increased disclosure requirements around Deferred Grant income.

Question 22: Does the module set out the accounting requirements for legacy income clear ly?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

There is not enough clarity on what constitutes a "reliable estimate", which could introduce volatility in accounts. It would be more prudent for legacies to be disclosed as a contingent asset until the legacy income is actually received.

Question 23: Accounting for legacies can be a complex area for charities to navigate. Is the re a need for further guidance on this topic outside of the SORP?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

The SORP should encourage through disclosure of contingent assets from legacies, and their evolution year-on-year. But not allow "estimates" to be used to recognise income.

Question 24: Do you have any other comments on module 5?

Key comment relates to grant income, which is currently problematic due to inconsistencies with FRS 102.

Question 25: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 26: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way? Please select all options that apply.

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 27: Does the section (paragraphs 10B.68 to 10B.84) on arrangements that are si gnificantly below market value provide clarity on how to account for such arrangements?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 28: Are the additional disclosure requirements set out in paragraphs 10B.95 and 10B.129 reasonable for charities with such arrangements?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 29 - please provide any other comments you have on module 10B:

No Response

Question 30: Do you agree with the proposal in the Exposure Draft SORP that only tier 1 a nd tier 2 charities, that do not meet the small entity threshold, and all tier 3 charities are required to prepare a statement of cash flows?

No, this should be required of all charities

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

A cash flow is an essential element of good financial management.

Question 31: Do you have any other comments on module 14?

No Response

Question 32: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are helpful?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 33: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 34: Do you have any other comments on module 20?

No Response

Question 35: Do you agree with the new approach to using the generic term 'social investments' instead of referring to 'programme related' and 'mixed motive' investments?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 36: Do you agree that the simplification of how gains and losses on social invest ments are reported is beneficial?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 37: Is the Exposure Draft SORP clear on the requirements for comparative figure s and disclosures?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 38: Do you think there is a need for further guidance on the treatment of compara tive figures and disclosures in this area?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

No Response

Question 39: Do you have any other comments on module 21?

No Response

Question 40: Do you agree that the drafting, structure and proposals in the Exposure Draft SORP support the needs of smaller charities whilst addressing the needs of users of charity reports and accounts?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit appli es)

Question 41: Do you agree with the SORP-making body's decision to continue to disallow the application of Section 1A?

Do not understand the position enough to comment

Please provide reasons for your answer or suggestions on how you think Section 1A could be applied differently: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 42: Do you have any other comments on the Exposure Draft SORP?