

Invitation to Comment on Exposure Draft Charities SORP

Do you consent to personal data you provide being held, in accordance with UK GDPR and the Privacy Policy of the Charities SORP making body and its delegated parties? If you select 'no' your name and email address will not be stored, but your organisational data (if relevant) and all consultation responses will be collected.

Yes

Name:

Rita Chadha

Email address:

[REDACTED]

Role (for example, Chair, Trustee, Accountant, Treasurer etc):

Coordinator

Are you happy for the SORP-making body to contact you if needed to discuss your responses?

Yes

Do you want your response to be treated confidentially by the SORP-making body and not published?

No

Are you responding:

On behalf of an organisation/body

Responding on behalf of an organisation/body

If responding on behalf of an organisation or body, please provide its name :

Waltham Forest Council for Voluntary Services

Please select what best describes the organisation:

A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

A sector body

A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

What was the last reported gross income as set out in the charity's last annual accounts?

Under £100K

A user of accounts prepared under FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

In which capacity were you using accounts prepared under FRS102 and the Charities SORP?

No Response

An accounting firm / auditor

How many charity clients do you supply your services to?

No Response

An accounting firm providing independent examination services to charities

How many charity clients do you supply your service to?

No Response

A sector body

How many member charities does your organisation have?

51-100 member charities

Responding as an individual

Which of the following describes you?

No Response

Question 1: Do you support the move to three tiers?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Yes most definitely, but we believe there could be further simplification to under £250k and under £100k - as this is a much fairer and commonly understood definition of small

Question 2: Do you consider that the proposed thresholds have been set at an appropriate monetary level in order to support a proportionate approach to reporting?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Yes, but as stated in question 1 we believe there should be further flexibility to ensure the limited resource support for the smallest of organisations

Question 3: Do you agree that the Exposure Draft SORP clearly sets out the proposed reporting requirements for each tier?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

1.22. The report must include an explanation to help the user to understand the scale and nature of the activities undertaken by volunteers and the input from volunteers - there needs to be an easily recognisable scale or formula for accounting for volunteer time, this needs to be standardised where possible across all 3 tiers (to ensure fairness in comparison between funders and donors)
1.60. Trustees are encouraged to explain in the report how the charity is responding to and managing environmental, governance and social matters. - again this needs further clarity especially in relation to environmental sustainability if this is related to internal or external context. ie some groups will have environmental sustainability as a core objective

1.43 If, at the date of approving the report and accounts, there are material uncertainties about the charity's ability to continue as a going concern, the nature of these uncertainties must be explained. - for most charities this is business as usual and they will always have ongoing concerns around viability it is the nature of their funding. There needs to be clarity for accountants (who are not specialist, on how to assess pipeline viability)

Question 4: Do you agree that charities within the largest income threshold should be referred to as 'tier 3' charities, or should they be referred to as 'tier 1' charities?

Agree with tier 3

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 5: Do you have any additional comments in relation to the proposed tiered reporting structure in the Exposure Draft SORP?

No Response

Question 6: Do you agree that including prompt questions will help trustees to develop their Trustees' Annual Report?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Yes most helpful

Question 7: Do you consider the requirements for impact reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 8: Do you consider the requirements for sustainability reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 9: Do you consider the disclosures for volunteers to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

This is pointless unless there are a range of standardised templates within the sector to help with the calculation. the read across by funders without control or reference to the context could be problematic and disproportionately impact smaller charities

Question 10: Do you consider the explanation of reserves in the glossary helpful?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 11: Do you consider the disclosures for reserves are proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 12: Do you consider the requirement for tier 1 charities to provide a summary of their plans for the future is proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 13: Do you consider that the additional disclosure will help to explain the treatment of legacies in the accounts?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 14: Do you have any other comments on module 1 and the proposals for the Trustees' Annual Report?

No Response

Question 15: Is the example table helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 16: Do you have any other comments on module 4?

No Response

Question 17: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of the five-step model in FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

5.81 Performance-related conditions are not the only terms that may apply to donations and grants. For example, a grant may be conditional on a charity obtaining matched funding or subject to successfully obtaining planning consent. Meeting these terms would not be wholly within the recipient charity's ability to satisfy and the outcome of the specified event is uncertain. Where a grant contains such terms, the income should not be recognised until there is reasonable assurance that it will be received. - this does take into account the problem of how many smaller organisations are impacted by crowdfunding which requires a lot of resource but is risky in its nature., this fails to take account of the assets delayed in raising the funds,

Question 18: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 19: Do you consider that the guidance on exchange and non-exchange transactions should be set out in separate modules of the SORP rather than separate sections of the same module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 20: In the Exposure Draft SORP, all the disclosure requirements are listed at the end of the module. Would it be clearer instead to set out the relevant disclosures at the end of each section within the module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 21: Do you consider this clarification a helpful addition to the SORP?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 22: Does the module set out the accounting requirements for legacy income clearly?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 23: Accounting for legacies can be a complex area for charities to navigate. Is there a need for further guidance on this topic outside of the SORP?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Additional guidance for small charities from infrastructure partners would be helpful

Question 24: Do you have any other comments on module 5?

No Response

Question 25: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

It is confused in expression and terminology and does not take into account the very real problem of premises and local authorities, where organisations struggle to get a lease out of a Local Authority but are nonetheless in occupation

Question 26: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way? Please select all options that apply.

No - do not understand a specific section

No - do not understand recognition exemptions

No - do not understand disclosure requirements

No - do not understand time value of money (free text box will be provided for more information to be added)

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No because the terminology and glossary are not clear

Question 27: Does the section (paragraphs 10B.68 to 10B.84) on arrangements that are significantly below market value provide clarity on how to account for such arrangements?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 28: Are the additional disclosure requirements set out in paragraphs 10B.95 and 10B.129 reasonable for charities with such arrangements?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

This does not take into account the application of social value clauses especially by public bodies in their lease of premises

Question 29 - please provide any other comments you have on module 10B:

No Response

Question 30: Do you agree with the proposal in the Exposure Draft SORP that only tier 1 and tier 2 charities, that do not meet the small entity threshold, and all tier 3 charities are required to prepare a statement of cash flows?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 31: Do you have any other comments on module 14?

No Response

Question 32: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are helpful?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 33: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 34: Do you have any other comments on module 20?

No Response

Question 35: Do you agree with the new approach to using the generic term 'social investments' instead of referring to 'programme related' and 'mixed motive' investments?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

But would also say there is a room for confusion here for small charities who may also think social investment as inclusive of volunteer time

Question 36: Do you agree that the simplification of how gains and losses on social investments are reported is beneficial?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 37: Is the Exposure Draft SORP clear on the requirements for comparative figures and disclosures?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 38: Do you think there is a need for further guidance on the treatment of comparative figures and disclosures in this area?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 39: Do you have any other comments on module 21?

No Response

Question 40: Do you agree that the drafting, structure and proposals in the Exposure Draft SORP support the needs of smaller charities whilst addressing the needs of users of charity reports and accounts?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

This needs a lot lot more work to make it accessible and small charity friendly

Question 41: Do you agree with the SORP-making body's decision to continue to disallow the application of Section 1A?

Do not understand the position enough to comment

Please provide reasons for your answer or suggestions on how you think Section 1A could be applied differently: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 42: Do you have any other comments on the Exposure Draft SORP?

No Response