

Invitation to Comment on Exposure Draft Charities SORP

Do you consent to personal data you provide being held, in accordance with UK GDPR and the Privacy Policy of the Charities SORP making body and its delegated parties? If you select 'no' your name and email address will not be stored, but your organisational data (if relevant) and all consultation responses will be collected.

No

Name:

No Response

Email address:

[REDACTED]

Role (for example, Chair, Trustee, Accountant, Treasurer etc):

No Response

Are you happy for the SORP-making body to contact you if needed to discuss your responses?

No Response

Do you want your response to be treated confidentially by the SORP-making body and not published?

No

Are you responding:

As an individual

Responding on behalf of an organisation/body

If responding on behalf of an organisation or body, please provide its name :

No Response

Please select what best describes the organisation:

No Response

A charity applying FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

What was the last reported gross income as set out in the charity's last annual accounts?

No Response

A user of accounts prepared under FRS 102 and the Charities SORP

In which capacity were you using accounts prepared under FRS102 and the Charities SORP?

No Response

An accounting firm / auditor

How many charity clients do you supply your services to?

No Response

An accounting firm providing independent examination services to charities

How many charity clients do you supply your service to?

No Response

A sector body

How many member charities does your organisation have?

No Response

Responding as an individual

Which of the following describes you?

Other (please specify):
Charity employee

Question 1: Do you support the move to three tiers?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 2: Do you consider that the proposed thresholds have been set at an appropriate monetary level in order to support a proportionate approach to reporting?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 3: Do you agree that the Exposure Draft SORP clearly sets out the proposed reporting requirements for each tier?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 4: Do you agree that charities within the largest income threshold should be referred to as 'tier 3' charities, or should they be referred to as 'tier 1' charities?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 5: Do you have any additional comments in relation to the proposed tiered reporting structure in the Exposure Draft SORP?

No Response

Question 6: Do you agree that including prompt questions will help trustees to develop their Trustees' Annual Report?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

This is sufficiently covered in the current SORP without needing additional requirements

Question 7: Do you consider the requirements for impact reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Undertaking impact reporting properly is a complicated and time consuming process and this proposal places an unnecessary burden on charities without significant added benefit to the public

Question 8: Do you consider the requirements for sustainability reporting for each tier to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Charities already have to explain how they meet their charitable objects. Adding additional reporting requirements that are not in line with the aims of the charity in a time when every penny counts is unnecessary and will not help in achieving those aims. Reporting should aim to help charities explain what they do, not try and burden them with more.

Question 9: Do you consider the disclosures for volunteers to be proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

This adds little to explaining what charities do and how they do it but adds increased burden

Question 10: Do you consider the explanation of reserves in the glossary helpful?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Generally good but the definition of restricted assets is self referential.

Question 11: Do you consider the disclosures for reserves are proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 12: Do you consider the requirement for tier 1 charities to provide a summary of their plans for the future is proportionate?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 13: Do you consider that the additional disclosure will help to explain the treatment of legacies in the accounts?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Legacies are already separately disclosed, the requirement is only useful for substantial legacies (say, over £1m). There is no benefit on having additional reporting for every legacy

Question 14: Do you have any other comments on module 1 and the proposals for the Trustees' Annual Report?

No Response

Question 15: Is the example table helpful?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 16: Do you have any other comments on module 4?

No Response

Question 17: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of the five-step model in FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 18: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 19: Do you consider that the guidance on exchange and non-exchange transactions should be set out in separate modules of the SORP rather than separate sections of the same module?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 20: In the Exposure Draft SORP, all the disclosure requirements are listed at the end of the module. Would it be clearer instead to set out the relevant disclosures at the end of each section within the module?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 21: Do you consider this clarification a helpful addition to the SORP?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Additional reporting burden for no additional benefit. This will not help charities achieve their objectives but will require additional work and therefore cost.

Question 22: Does the module set out the accounting requirements for legacy income clearly?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 23: Accounting for legacies can be a complex area for charities to navigate. Is there a need for further guidance on this topic outside of the SORP?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

Reporting should be made simpler and easier to put in place, not just adding additional requirements

Question 24: Do you have any other comments on module 5?

No Response

Question 25: Do you find the module easy to navigate as drafted?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 26: Does the module explain the relevant requirements of FRS 102 in a clear and understandable way? Please select all options that apply.

No - do not understand time value of money (free text box will be provided for more information to be added)

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

The impact of the time value of money is not explained in a clear way and additional examples would help the users

Question 27: Does the section (paragraphs 10B.68 to 10B.84) on arrangements that are significantly below market value provide clarity on how to account for such arrangements?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

The onus is being placed on the charity to decide how to treat the leases rather than providing clear guidance.

Question 28: Are the additional disclosure requirements set out in paragraphs 10B.95 and 10B.129 reasonable for charities with such arrangements?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

There are a lot of disclosure requirements included here. There should be a materiality threshold included below which a simpler set of disclosures can be included or else charities are exposed to increased burden for little benefit

Question 29 - please provide any other comments you have on module 10B:

No Response

Question 30: Do you agree with the proposal in the Exposure Draft SORP that only tier 1 and tier 2 charities, that do not meet the small entity threshold, and all tier 3 charities are required to prepare a statement of cash flows?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 31: Do you have any other comments on module 14?

No Response

Question 32: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are helpful?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

There is already an excessive amount of disclosure required here that only a sophisticated user of the accounts will understand, additional disclosures do not make the matter clearer, just add complication

Question 33: Do you agree that the additional disclosures are proportionate?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

The aim should be to make the disclosures simpler for the users of the accounts, just adding more does not add simplicity

Question 34: Do you have any other comments on module 20?

No Response

Question 35: Do you agree with the new approach to using the generic term 'social investments' instead of referring to 'programme related' and 'mixed motive' investments?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 36: Do you agree that the simplification of how gains and losses on social investments are reported is beneficial?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 37: Is the Exposure Draft SORP clear on the requirements for comparative figures and disclosures?

Yes

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 38: Do you think there is a need for further guidance on the treatment of comparative figures and disclosures in this area?

No

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 39: Do you have any other comments on module 21?

No Response

Question 40: Do you agree that the drafting, structure and proposals in the Exposure Draft SORP support the needs of smaller charities whilst addressing the needs of users of charity reports and accounts?

No opinion

Please provide any reasons for your answer here, if you wish to do so: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 41: Do you agree with the SORP-making body's decision to continue to disallow the application of Section 1A?

Yes

Please provide reasons for your answer or suggestions on how you think Section 1A could be applied differently: (250 word limit applies)

No Response

Question 42: Do you have any other comments on the Exposure Draft SORP?

There seems to be the assumption that greater disclosure is the way to provide greater clarity to the users of the accounts. What this is leading to is the imposition of greater burden and therefore cost to charities which means they are less able to meet their objectives. It would be more beneficial to have simpler disclosures that all users of the accounts can understand and allow charities to focus on meeting their charitable objectives.